Arcadia University
Spring 2020 Course Evaluation

Level: Arcadia University

Response Rate: 7830/13676 (57.25 %)

Question		5	4	3	2	1	0	Mean	Std	Median
Course requirements were explained clearly.	n	4443	2502	195	167	39	50	4.52	0.71	5.00
	%	60.07%	33.83%	2.64%	2.26%	0.53%	0.68%			
The instructor's grading process and rationale were clearly expressed.	n	4228	2394	306	240	86	124	4.44	0.82	5.00
	%	57.31%	32.45%	4.15%	3.25%	1.17%	1.68%			
Assignments (including exams) were directly related to important course objectives.	n	4582	2301	175	108	26	184	4.57	0.65	5.00
	%	62.12%	31.20%	2.37%	1.46%	0.35%	2.49%			
Assignments were graded and returned within a reasonable amount of time (given the size of the course and the nature of the assignment).	n	4138	2346	270	240	111	274	4.43	0.84	5.00
	%	56.08%	31.79%	3.66%	3.25%	1.50%	3.71%			
There were multiple opportunities to assess my progress toward course objectives.	n	3986	2513	438	243	88	107	4.38	0.84	5.00
	%	54.05%	34.07%	5.94%	3.29%	1.19%	1.45%			
The course schedule and timeline were clear to me throughout the semester.	n	4382	2495	200	183	53	65	4.50	0.74	5.00
	%	59.39%	33.82%	2.71%	2.48%	0.72%	0.88%			
Instructions for assignments were clear.	n	4029	2569	318	243	66	140	4.42	0.80	5.00
	%	54.70%	34.88%	4.32%	3.30%	0.90%	1.90%			
The course work challenged me, given its type and level.	n	3919	2843	293	181	45	82	4.43	0.74	5.00
	%	53.23%	38.61%	3.98%	2.46%	0.61%	1.11%			
The workload for this course was similar to other courses of its type and level.	n	3137	3227	414	316	81	175	4.26	0.84	4.00
	%	42.68%	43.90%	5.63%	4.30%	1.10%	2.38%			
The course covered content at an appropriate pace.	n	3712	2968	278	203	59	132	4.39	0.76	5.00
	%	50.49%	40.37%	3.78%	2.76%	0.80%	1.80%			
The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject matter.	n	5355	1724	117	38	25	119	4.70	0.56	5.00
	%	72.58%	23.37%	1.59%	0.52%	0.34%	1.61%			
The instructor used class time well.	n	4504	1984	273	213	61	346	4.51	0.78	5.00
	%	61.02%	26.88%	3.70%	2.89%	0.83%	4.69%			
The instructor prioritized important material and main points.	n	4645	2112	243	149	50	173	4.55	0.72	5.00
	%	63.01%	28.65%	3.30%	2.02%	0.68%	2.35%			
The instructor was clear and easy to understand.	n	4553	2136	261	199	64	155	4.51	0.77	5.00
	%	61.79%	28.99%	3.54%	2.70%	0.87%	2.10%			
The instructor was prepared for class.	n	4869	1999	142	76	23	273	4.63	0.61	5.00
	%	65.96%	27.08%	1.92%	1.03%	0.31%	3.70%			
The instructor clarified material through examples or explanations.	n	4645	2140	201	131	43	201	4.57	0.70	5.00
	%	63.10%	29.07%	2.73%	1.78%	0.58%	2.73%			
The instructor provided clear feedback to students on assignments.	n	4271	2140	305	223	84	337	4.47	0.82	5.00
	%	58.03%	29.08%	4.14%	3.03%	1.14%	4.58%			
The instructor created a positive environment for learning.	n	4966	1920	210	89	38	138	4.62	0.66	5.00
	%	67.46%	26.08%	2.85%	1.21%	0.52%	1.87%			
The instructor acknowledged my concerns and comments.	n	4630	2070	205	93	47	326	4.58	0.68	5.00
	%	62.81%	28.08%	2.78%	1.26%	0.64%	4.42%			
The instructor showed respect for students as individuals.	n	5210	1839	131	76	24	85	4.67	0.60	5.00
	%	70.74%	24.97%	1.78%	1.03%	0.33%	1.15%			
Given the size and type of course, the instructor encouraged students to contribute or participate.	n	4837	2051	157	70	19	238	4.63	0.61	5.00
	%	65.61%	27.82%	2.13%	0.95%	0.26%	3.23%			
The instructor responded to emails promptly.	n	4336	2039	215	150	84	529	4.52	0.77	5.00
	%	58.97%	27.73%	2.92%	2.04%	1.14%	7.19%			
The instructor was available to discuss class issues outside of class (e.g., office hours).	n	4225	2024	254	56	26	770	4.57	0.65	5.00
	%	57.44%	27.52%	3.45%	0.76%	0.35%	10.47%			
I was engaged in the content of this course.	n	4125	2527	304	250	96	64	4.42	0.83	5.00
	%	56.00%	34.31%	4.13%	3.39%	1.30%	0.87%			
I feel I achieved the primary outcomes or objectives described for this course.	n	4094	2611	311	171	74	63	4.44	0.77	5.00
	%	55.90%	35.65%	4.25%	2.33%	1.01%	0.86%			

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree, 0 = N/A

Arcadia University
Spring 2020 Course Evaluation

Level: Arcadia University

Response Rate: 7830/13676 (57.25 %)

Question		2	1	Mean	Std	Median
This course was valuable.	n	6976	369	1.95	0.22	2.00
	%	94.98%	5.02%			

Scale: 2 = Yes, 1 = No

Question		1	2	3	Mean	Std	Median
The difficulty level of this course was	n	739	5144	1472	2.10	0.54	2.00
	%	10.05%	69.94%	20.01%			

Scale: 1 = Easy, 2 = Moderate, 3 = Difficult

Question		1	2	3	Mean	Std	Median
The workload for this course was similar to other courses of its type and level.	n	606	5816	912	2.04	0.45	2.00
	%	8.26%	79.30%	12.44%			

Scale: 1 = Light, 2 = Moderate, 3 = Heavy

Question		1	2	3	Mean	Std	Median
The course covered content at an appropriate pace.	n	188	6552	582	2.05	0.32	2.00
	%	2.57%	89.48%	7.95%			

Scale: 1 = Slow, 2 = Moderate, 3 = Fast

Question		5	4	3	2	1	0	Mean	Std	Median
The Instructor was effective in this class.	n	4406	2303	284	149	67	123	4.50	0.75	5.00
	%	60.09%	31.41%	3.87%	2.03%	0.91%	1.68%			

Scale: 5 = Strongly Agree, 4 = Agree, 3 = Undecided, 2 = Disagree, 1 = Strongly Disagree, 0 = N/A